GISM Global Ionospheric Scintillation Model

http://www.ieea.fr/en/gism-web-interface.html

Y. Béniguel, IEEA

•Béniguel Y., P. Hamel, "A Global Ionosphere Scintillation Propagation Model for Equatorial Regions", Journal of Space Weather Space Climate, 1, (2011), doi: 10.1051/swsc/2011004



Contents

Bias

- Scintillations
- Modelling Results vs Measurements
- Scattering Function Calculation (SAR observations)
- Conclusion
- Positioning errors



Measurement Campaigns

PRIS

Béniguel Y., J-P Adam, N. Jakowski, T. Noack, V. Wilken, J-J Valette, M. Cueto, A. Bourdillon, P. Lassudrie-Duchesne, B. Arbesser-Rastburg, Analysis of scintillation recorded during the PRIS measurement campaign, Radio Sci., Vol 44, (2009), doi:10.1029/2008RS004090

http://telecom.esa.int/telecom/www/object/index.cfm?fobjectid=29210

MONITOR

Prieto Cerdeira R., Y. Béniguel, "The MONITOR project: architecture, data and products", Ionospheric Effects Symposium, Alexandria VA, May 2011

MONITOR Extension

On going; start : 30 june 2014



Ionosphere Variability

70 60 60 50 20 latitude © -20 -46 20 -60 10 -150 -100 100 150 longitude

TEC , F10.7 = 150, , date = 1/ 1/2003 , UT = 22.00



TEC Map

S4 map cumulated over 24 hours



Mean Errors (ray technique calculation)

$$n^{2} = 1 - \frac{X}{1 - \frac{Y^{2} \sin \vartheta}{2(1 - X)}} \pm \left[\left(\frac{Y^{2} \sin^{2} \vartheta}{2(1 - X)} \right)^{2} + Y^{2} \cos^{2} \vartheta \right]^{1/2}$$

$$X = \frac{\omega_{P}^{2}}{\omega^{2}} \qquad Y = \frac{\omega_{b}}{\omega}$$

Haselgrove equations (Simplified)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\,\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{d}\,\mathbf{t}} = \frac{\mathrm{c}^{2}\,\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\omega} \qquad \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}\,\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{d}\,\mathbf{t}} = -\frac{\omega_{\mathrm{P}}}{\omega} \quad \frac{\partial\,\omega_{\mathrm{P}}}{\partial\,\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{i}}}$$

IEEA

Bias

Range error

$$\Delta L = \frac{\lambda^2 r_e}{2\pi} N_T \qquad N_T = \int_0^z N_e \, ds \qquad \Delta L = \frac{40.3 N_T}{f^2}$$

Faraday rotation

$$\Psi = \frac{e^3}{2\varepsilon_0 c m^2 \omega^2} \int_0^z N_e B \cos\vartheta ds$$

Inputs : Ne ; B at any point inside ionosphere



Example of results / Solar Flux 150



HF Ground to Ground Propagation (Sky Wave)



HF Antenna Pattern as an input



Turbulent Ionosphere (scintillation)



Physical Mechanism





Medium Radar Observations



Observations at Kwajalen Islands Courtesy K. Groves, AFRL Observations in Brazil Courtesy E. de Paula, INPE

The vertical extent may reach hundreds of kilometers



Scintillation on Galileo Satellites L1 vs E5a





Field Propagation Equation

$$E(\rho, z, \omega, t) = U(\rho, z, \omega) \exp\left\{j\left(\omega t - \int \langle k(z')\rangle dz'\right)\right\}$$

The field amplitude value U is a solution of the the parabolic equation

$$2 j k \frac{\partial U(\rho)}{\partial z} + \nabla_t^2 U(\rho) + k^2 \varepsilon_1(\rho) U(\rho) = 0$$

Method of solution : phase screen technique



Field Propagation Equation

Solution of the parabolic equation

$$2 j k \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \langle U(r) \rangle + \nabla_{t}^{2} \langle U(r) \rangle + k^{2} \langle \varepsilon(r) U(r) \rangle = 0$$

$$2 j k \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \langle U(r) \rangle + \nabla_{t}^{2} \langle U(r) \rangle + j \frac{k^{3}}{4} A(0) \langle U(r) \rangle = 0$$

Using the phase index autocorrelation function

$$B(z,\rho) = \langle \varepsilon(\rho_1) \ \varepsilon(\rho_2) \rangle \qquad A(\rho) = \int B(z,\rho) dz$$



Phase Screen Technique



Propagation : 1st & 3rd terms ; scattering : 2nd & 3rd terms



Medium Characterization Index Spectral Density



Medium's Phase Spectrum



3 parameters : σ_{Ne} ; q_0 ; p



One Sample : Intensity

Sample characteristics : S4 = 0.51, sigma phi = 0.11





One Sample : Phase

Sample characteristics : S4 = 0.51, sigma phi = 0.11





Spectrum Parameters

5 days RINEX files considered in the analysis

S4 > 0.2 & sigma phi < 2 (filter convergence)

2 parameters to define the spectrum : T (1 Hz value) & p







Slope spectrum vs time after sunset





Phase variance Time domain vs frequency domain



Medium Characterization (Correlation Function)

Isotropic

1D

Anisotropic



2D Analysis : Isotropic Medium

$$B_{\Phi}(\rho) = \frac{C_{p}}{(2\pi)^{2}} \iint \gamma_{\Phi}(K) \exp(-j\overline{K}.\overline{\rho}) dK$$
$$\longrightarrow [B_{\Phi}(\rho)]_{iso} = \frac{\sigma_{\Phi}^{2}}{2^{(p-4)/2} \Gamma((p-2)/2)} (\rho q_{0})^{((p-2)/2)} K_{((p-2)/2)} (\rho q_{0})$$

$$\sigma_{\Phi}^2 = B_{\Phi}(0) = (\lambda r_e)^2 L L_0 \sigma_{Ne}^2$$



1D Analysis Isotropic Medium



$$B_{\Phi}(\rho) = \frac{C_{P}}{2\pi} \int \gamma_{\Phi}(k) \exp(-jk\rho) dk$$
$$[B_{\Phi}(\rho)]_{1D} = \frac{C_{P}}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^{(p-3)/2} \Gamma(p/2)} q_{0}^{1-p} (\rho q_{0})^{(p-1)/2} K_{(p-1)/2} (\rho q_{0})$$



1D vs Isotropic





Anisotropic vs Isotropic



Additional geometric factor with respect to the 2D case $G = \frac{ab}{(AC - B^2/4)^{1/2}}$

a, b ellipses axes

A, B, C trigonometric terms resulting from rotations related to variable changes



Phase Synthesis (1D)

$\Phi(\rho) = FFT^{-1}(FFT(u) * \gamma_{\Phi}(k))$

u random number with a uniform spectral density

Done at each successive layer



Numerical Constraints

Frequency band	Medium parameters ⁽¹⁾	Phase variance ⁽²⁾ $\sigma_{\Phi}^2 = (\lambda r_e)^2 \Delta z L_0 \sigma_{Ne}^2$	Aliasing ⁽³⁾ $L > \frac{z \lambda \sigma_{\Phi}}{L_0 \sqrt{2}}$	Propagation $\Delta z < \frac{2L\Delta x}{\lambda}$
P 450 MHz	$L_0 = 500m.$ $N_e = 10^{12} el/m^3$ RMS = 20 %	σ_{Φ}^2 = 1.41 (σ_{Φ} = 1.19)	L > 282 m. z=3.1 $\emptyset; \sigma_{\Phi} = 1$	$\Delta z < 25 \text{ km}$ $L = 2500 \text{m}.$ $\Delta x = 3.3 \text{m}.$
L 1.5 GHz	$L_0 = 500m.$ $N_e = 10^{12} el/m^3$ RMS = 20 %	$\sigma_{\Phi}^2 = 0.12$ ($\sigma_{\Phi} = 0.36$)	L > 85 m. z=3.1 ϑ ; σ_{Φ} =1	$\Delta z < 122 \text{km}$ L = 2500 m. $\Delta x = 4.88 \text{m}.$ (FFT :1024 pts)
S 2.5 GHz	$L_0 = 500m.$ $N_e = 10^{12} el/m^3$ RMS = 20 %	$\sigma_{\Phi}^{2} = 0.05$ $(\sigma_{\Phi} = 0.22)$	L > 51 m. $z=3.1$ $\sigma_{\Phi}=1$	$\Delta z < 203 \text{km}$ L = 2500 m. $\Delta x = 4.88 \text{m}.$ (FFT :1024 pts)





Sub Models (1 / 2) Seasonal Dependency

(Low Latitude Scintillations)



Scintillation Events Histograms



Scintillation Events / Lima 2012





Measurements in Malindi, Kenya





Measurements in Burkina Fasso

Koudougou (Burkina Fasso) Geographic Latitude : 12°15 / Magnetic Latitude : -1°14







Sub Models (2/2)

Local Time Dependency

(Low Latitude Scintillations)



One week of measurements in Guiana



Intensity standard deviation (S4)

S4 all satellites days 314 to 319 / year 2006

Local time : post sunset hours (CLS measurements, PRIS Campaign)
Checking Results

➤ Indices

- Inter frequency correlation
- Probability of intensity
- Fades distribution
- Loss of Lock



Medium Characterisation

Mean Effects (Sub Models)

NeQuick, Terrestrial Magnetic Field (NOAA)

Geophysical Parameters

SSN, Medium Drift Velocity

LT & Seasonal dependency

Scintillations (Fluctuating medium)

Spectrum slope (p), BubblesRMS, OuterScale (L_0) Anisotropy ratio



Numerical Implementation

The model includes an orbit generator (GPS, Glonass, Galileo, ...)

Inputs

- Medium Characterisation
- **Geophysical Parameters**
- Scenario
- Intermediate calculation : LOS, Ionisation along the LOS

Outputs

Scintillation indices Correlation Distances (Time & Space) Scattering function

IEEA

Signal at receiver level





Modelling vs Measurements (Intensity)

S4 all satellites

Cayenne days 314 to 319 : year 2006 1 PRN2 PRN13 \diamond PRN10 0.8 PRN4 × PRN24 0.6 **Measurements** S4 **PRN12** PRN8 0.4 PRN29 PRN26 0.2 PRN6 Cayenne day 314 / 2006 GISM 0 -40 -20 20 40 60 80 0 100 1 13 LT \diamond 23 V 27 0.8 \times 8 17 0.6 **\$** 10 Modelling 24 0.4 29 2 0.2 5 6 0 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 LT African School on Space Science – Kigali, Rwanda – 30 june 2014 – 11 july 2014

Modelling vs Measurements (Phase)

Sigma Phi all satellites Cayenne, days 314 to 319 / year 2006 The phase RMS value is PRN2 PRN13 0 slightly lower than the S4 PRN10 0.8 PRN4 value Sigma Phi (radian) PRN24 0.6 **Measurements PRN12** 0.4 PRN8 PRN29 PRN26 0.2 PRN6 nne day 314 / 2006 0 GISM -20 20 -40 0 40 80 100 60 1 LT 13 0 23 27 0.8 8 17 0.6 Sigma phi Modelling 10 24 0.4 29 Some samples exhibit high 2 values (both measurements 0.2 5 and modelling) due to the 6 0 phase jumps 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 LT African School on Space Science – Kigali, Rwanda – 30 june 2014 – 11 july 2014

Scintillation Modelling vs Measurements



Measurements



Modelling



Scintillation Index Dependency on Frequency



using Yuma files



Global Maps



TEC Map Modelling

Scintillation Map Modelling



Inter Frequency Correlation



Weak scintillations vs strong scintillations





Inter Frequency Correlation Time Using 1 week of measurements in Tahiti





Frequency Correlation (Modelling)



Weak scintillations

Strong scintillations



Loss of Lock



Probability of Loss of Lock

Loss of Lock when $\sigma_{\!\scriptscriptstyle \varphi}$ > threshold value



The phase noise is related to the Intensity of the received signal

$$\sigma_{\Phi T}^{2} = \frac{B_{n}}{(c/n_{0}) I} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2\eta (c/n_{0}) I} \right]$$

p(I) Nakagami distributed

Probability of Loss of Lock is $p(\sigma_{\phi}) >$ threshold value



Loss of Lock (Measurements in Tahiti)

Loss of Locks L2 / strong scintillations





Loss of Lock Measurements vs Modelling



3 days of analysis in Tahiti



Geographical Extent

Simultaneous Scintillation



Number of Satellites Simultaneously Corrupted by Scintillation





Probability of intensity / Modelling



African School on Space Science – Kigali, Rwanda – 30 june 2014 – 11 july 2014

IEEA

Fades Statistics

Example of equatorial scintillation in Ascension Island, in solarmax conditions (2001)





Probability of intensity / Modelling

Nakagami vs measurements



Radar Observations

Mutual Coherence Function



Correlation distance vs LSAR





Ionosphere Effects





Two Points - Two Frequencies Coherence Function

$$\Gamma(z, k_1, k_2, \rho_1, \rho_2) = \langle U_1(z, k_1, \rho_1) U_2^*(z, k_2, \rho_2) \rangle$$

Using the parabolic equation

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} & - & \frac{j}{2} \frac{k_{d}}{k_{0}^{2}} \nabla_{d}^{2} & + & \frac{k_{p}^{4}}{8 k_{0}^{2}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{k_{d}^{2}}{k_{0}^{2}} & A_{\xi}(0) & + & D_{\xi}(\rho) \right] \right] \Gamma(k_{d}, z, \rho) = 0$$

The structure function $D_{\Phi}(z,\rho) = 2[B_{\Phi}(0) - B_{\Phi}(\rho)]$ is quadratic with respect to the distance

Same process than previously : propagation 1st & 3rd terms ; Diffraction : 2nd & 3rd terms



Two Points - Two Frequencies Coherence Function



$$\Gamma(z, k_1, k_2, \rho_1, \rho_2) = \langle U_1(z, k_1, \rho_1) U_2^*(z, k_2, \rho_2) \rangle$$



Solution (one single screen)

Scattering \rightarrow 2 constants

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{\sigma_{\Phi}^2}{2\,\omega^2}$$

S =
$$\sigma_{\Phi}^2 (L_1)^2 \frac{\text{Log}(L_0 / \ell_i)}{6L_0^2}$$

Propagation \rightarrow 1 constant

$$P = \frac{1}{2 c k^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{L_{1} + L_{2}} - \frac{1}{L_{1}} \right)$$



* Nickisch, RS 92, Knepp & Nickisch, RS, 2010 African School on Space Science – Kigali, Rwanda – 30 june 2014 – 11 july 2014



One Single Screen

Analytical solution





Spreading Extent





Rogers, N., P. Cannon, and K. Groves, Measurements and simulations of ionospheric scattering ੴn ℃HF and UHF radar signals: Channel scattering function, Radio Sci., 44, RS0A33, DOI: 10.1029/2008RS004033, 2009.



Analytic vs Numerical





Several Screens Refined Analysis

> The algorithm can easily be generalized

Different statistical properties may be assigned to the different layers

> Numerical FFT (1D) shall be performed to get the coherence function



Ambiguity Function

$$\chi$$
 (r,r₀) = $\sum_{n} \int g_{n}(t,r_{n}) f_{n}^{*}(t,r_{0n}) dt$

 $g_{\rm n}$ is the received signal and $\ f_{\rm n}$ is the matched filter

$$\chi_{n} (\mathbf{r}_{n}, \mathbf{r}_{0n}) = \frac{1}{(4\pi \mathbf{r}_{n})^{2}} \exp(j \Phi_{0}) \int \exp(-j (\omega - \omega_{0}) \Phi_{1} - (\omega - \omega_{0})^{2} \Phi_{2}) d\omega$$

Value of Coherent field received

$$\langle \chi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{0}) \rangle = \frac{\exp(-\sigma_{\Phi}^{2})}{(4\pi r_{0})^{2}} \int_{-\text{Le}/2}^{\text{Le}/2} \exp\left(2jk_{0}\left(\mathbf{r}_{0} + \frac{\rho^{2}}{2r_{0}}\right)\right) d\rho = \frac{\exp(-\sigma_{\Phi}^{2})}{(4\pi r_{0})^{2}} \sin c\left(k_{0} \rho L_{e}/r_{0}\right)$$

An attenuation factor on the coherent component is included



Coherent Length

It is given by function $\Gamma (\omega_d, \rho, r) = \sqrt{\frac{D}{S}} \exp \left(-B \omega_d^2 - D (\rho / r_0)^2\right)$





Positioning Errors


Modelling

S4 measured for each tracked satellite

 σ_{τ} is calculated taking the thermal noise as the main contribution

Range error calculated assuming a gaussian distribution

GPS constellation simulated with a yuma file



GPS Positioning Errors



African School on Space Science – Kigali, Rwanda – 30 june 2014 – 11 july 2014



Positioning errors from Measurements in Brazil in 2001





Conclusion

Reasonable agreement between modelling (GISM) and measurements

> Positioning errors due to scintillations may reach values up to 50 meters

> The azimuthal resolution of a SAR may be significantly decreased

All results will be updated taking measurements campaign data into account

